

**NORTHERN UTILITIES, INC.
NEW HAMPSHIRE DIVISION
NOVEMBER 2017 / OCTOBER 2018 ANNUAL PERIOD
COST OF GAS ADJUSTMENT FILING
PREFILED TESTIMONY OF
CHRISTOPHER A. KAHL**

1 **I. INTRODUCTION**

2 **Q. Please state your name and business address.**

3 A. My name is Christopher A. Kahl. My business address is 6 Liberty Lane West,
4 Hampton, New Hampshire.

5 **Q. For whom do you work and in what capacity?**

6 A. I am a Senior Regulatory Analyst for Unitil Service Corp. (“Unitil Service”), a subsidiary
7 of Unitil Corporation (“Unitil”). Unitil Service provides managerial, financial, regulatory
8 and engineering services to the principal subsidiaries of Unitil. These subsidiaries are
9 Fitchburg Gas and Electric Light Company d/b/a Unitil, Granite State Gas Transmission,
10 Inc. (“Granite”), Northern Utilities, Inc. d/b/a Unitil (“Northern” or “the Company”), and
11 Unitil Energy Systems, Inc. I am responsible for developing, providing and sponsoring
12 certain reports, testimony and proposals filed with regulatory agencies.

13 **Q. Please summarize your professional and educational background.**

14 A. I have worked in the natural gas industry for over twenty years. Before joining Unitil in
15 January 2011, I was employed as an Analyst with Columbia Gas of Massachusetts
16 (“Columbia”) where I had worked since 1997 in supply planning. Prior to working for
17 Columbia, I was employed as an Analyst in the Rates and Regulatory Affairs Department
18 of Algonquin Gas Transmission Company (“Algonquin”) from 1993 to 1997. Prior to
19 working for Algonquin, I was employed as a Senior Associate/Energy Consultant for

1 DRI/McGraw-Hill. I received a Bachelor of Sciences degree and a Masters of Arts
2 degree in Economics from Northeastern University.

3 **Q. Have you previously testified before the New Hampshire Public Utilities**
4 **Commission or for Until?**

5 A. Yes, I have testified before the Commission in the 2016 / 2017 Annual Cost of Gas
6 (“COG”) proceeding, Docket No. DG 16-819; the 2016 / 2017 Winter Period COG
7 proceeding, Docket No. DG 15-393; and the 2016 Summer Period COG proceeding,
8 Docket No. DG 16-309. I have testified in numerous other Cost of Gas proceedings as
9 well.

10 **Q. Please explain the purpose of your pre-filed direct testimony in this proceeding.**

11 A. This proceeding reflects the annual reconciliation and COG filing and will present both
12 2017 / 2018 Winter Period and 2018 Summer Period COG rates. I, Francis Wells,
13 Manager of Gas Supply for Until Service, and Joseph Conneely, Senior Regulatory
14 Analyst for Until Service are sharing the responsibility of supporting the proposed New
15 Hampshire Division 2017 / 2018 Annual COG and other proposed rate adjustments in
16 this proceeding with testimony.

17 Mr. Wells’ testimony is with regard to the customer demand forecast and the resulting
18 forecasted gas sendout and gas costs he developed for the Maine and New Hampshire
19 Divisions. Mr. Wells also describes recent changes to Northern’s supply portfolio.

20 Mr. Conneely’s testimony concerns the calculation of the 2017 / 2018 Local Distribution
21 Adjustment Clause (“LDAC”), and the typical customer bill impacts resulting from the
22 proposed 2017 / 2018 Winter Period and 2018 Summer Period COG rates.

My testimony presents and explains the New Hampshire Division's 2016 / 2017 Annual Reconciliation, the calculation of the 2017 / 2018 annual COG and the rates Northern proposes to charge customers for the November 1, 2017 to April 30, 2018 Winter Period, and for the May 1, 2018 to October 31, 2018 Summer Period.

Q. Please provide a list of the attachments that you have prepared in support of your testimony.

A. The attachments that I have prepared in support of my testimony are listed below.

Summary Schedule	Supporting Detail to the Tariff Sheets Bad Debt, Working Capital
Schedule 1A	Allocation of New Hampshire Fixed Capacity Costs To Months and Seasons
Schedule 1B	New Hampshire Division Commodity Cost Analysis
Schedule 3	New Hampshire Division (Over) / Under-collection Balances and Interest Calculations
Schedule 4	Bad Debt (Actual/Forecast)
Schedule 9	Rate Comparison to 2016 - 2017 Winter & 2017 Summer
Schedule 10A	Allocation of New Hampshire Demand Costs To New Hampshire Firm Sales Rate Classes
Schedule 10B	Division Sales and Sendout Forecast
Schedule 10C	Allocation of New Hampshire Variable Gas Costs To New Hampshire Firm Sales Rate Classes
Schedule 14	Northern Utilities Inventory Activity
Schedule 15	2016 - 2017 Annual Reconciliation
Schedule 18	Supplier Balancing Charge
Schedule 21	Allocation of Northern Fixed Capacity Costs To New Hampshire and Maine Divisions
Schedule 22	Allocation of Northern Commodity Costs To New Hampshire and Maine Divisions
Schedule 23	Supporting Detail to Proposed Tariff Sheets
Schedule 24	Short Term Debt Limit Calculation
Schedule 25	PNGTS Refund – Year 3

II. COST OF GAS FACTOR

Q. Please provide an overview of how Northern’s COG-related costs are allocated to the New Hampshire Division rate classes.

A. The allocation of Northern’s costs to the New Hampshire Division rate classes is derived through three steps. They are as follows:

Step 1 – Allocate costs between the New Hampshire and Maine Divisions.

Step 2 - Allocate New Hampshire Division costs to the Winter and Summer seasons.

Step 3 – Allocate New Hampshire Division seasonal costs to each rate class.

I will provide a detailed explanation of how these three steps are conducted.

A. Allocation of Demand-Related Costs to the Maine and New Hampshire Divisions

Q. Please explain how the projected demand/fixed capacity-related costs, i.e. (a) pipeline reservation and gas supply demand charges, (b) underground storage capacity costs and (c) peaking resource capacity costs are allocated between Northern’s Maine and New Hampshire Divisions.

A. Total Northern capacity-related costs are allocated between the Maine and New Hampshire Divisions by application of the Modified Proportional Responsibility (“MPR”) methodology. The MPR methodology allocates fixed capacity-related gas costs to the Maine and New Hampshire Divisions in a two-step process: (1) capacity-related

1 costs, by resource type¹, are allocated to calendar months by application of MPR
2 allocation factors, and (2) the capacity-related costs allocated to each month are allocated
3 to the Maine and New Hampshire Divisions based on the relative shares of Design Year
4 demand² in that month. This MPR methodology was approved by the Commission on
5 December 30, 2005 to be effective January 1, 2006. Subsequently, on June 1, 2006, the
6 Commission issued Order No. 24,627 in Docket No. DG 05-080.

7 As I will explain in more detail below, I used the MPR methodology to allocate total
8 Northern annual demand-related costs to the Maine and New Hampshire Divisions for the
9 2017 / 2018 Winter Season (November 2017 through April 2018), and for the 2018
10 Summer Season (May 2018 through October 2018).

11 **Q. Please give an overview of the process that you followed to allocate total Northern**
12 **demand costs for the period November 2017 through October 2018 to the Maine**
13 **and New Hampshire Divisions.**

14 **A.** I have prepared Schedule 21 to explain how I calculated the MPR factors and how I used
15 these factors to allocate total Northern annual demand costs for the period November
16 2017 through October 2018 (“the COG Period”) to the Maine and New Hampshire
17 Divisions. Schedule 21 is arranged in three major sections:

¹ These resources are pipeline, storage, and peaking.

² For the MPR allocation process, Design Year demand is calculated as the actual demand of the Maine and New Hampshire Divisions’ firm sales and assigned-capacity / non-grandfathered transportation customers for the period May 2016 through April 2017, adjusted to reflect design winter effective degree day (“EDD”) conditions from November through April and normal EDD conditions from May through October.

1 (1) Total fixed capacity costs, by type of resource (pipeline, storage, and peaking),
2 are summarized in Lines 1 through 10.

3 (2) Total fixed capacity costs for each resource type are allocated to each month
4 in the COG Period according to MPR allocators that were developed specifically
5 for each resource type, as shown on Lines 13 through 56 (Schedule 21, pages 1
6 and 3), with the MPR allocators based on design year sendout volumes for each
7 resource type.

8 (3) Total fixed capacity costs allocated to each month in section 2, above, are
9 allocated to the Maine and New Hampshire Divisions according to design year
10 total firm sendout as shown in Lines 58 through 90.

11 I note the last column of Pages 2 and 4 of Schedule 21 are descriptions of the sources of
12 data and explanations of the calculations included in the schedule. Similar explanations
13 are included in other attachments to my testimony.

14 **Q. Has the Company made changes to its supply purchases for this year compared to**
15 **last year?**

16 A. Yes. For capacity-assigned Delivery Service customers in both the Maine and New
17 Hampshire divisions, Northern is not purchasing any off-system peaking supplies. In
18 Maine, the Company eliminated off-peaking system supply for capacity-assigned
19 Delivery Service customers beginning on November 1, 2016. Northern Utilities, Inc.
20 M.P.U.C. Delivery Service Terms and Conditions, Third Revised Pages 95, 96. Similarly,
21 Northern has proposed tariff provisions in Docket DG 17-104 that would eliminate off-

1 system peaking supply for capacity-assigned Delivery Service customers effective
2 November 1, 2017. Docket DG 17-104 remains pending as of this filing.

3 **Q. Getting back to the cost overview, please explain how you allocated total Northern**
4 **Fixed Capacity Costs to the months in the COG Period.**

5 A. Lines 3 through 6 of Schedule 21 show total Northern annual projected demand costs for
6 Pipeline, Storage, and Peaking resources. The forecasted demand costs were provided to
7 me by Mr. Wells.³ Mr. Wells also provided estimates of Capacity Release revenues and
8 Asset Management revenues, which I have summarized as credits in Lines 8 and 9 of
9 Schedule 21.

10 The development of the MPR factors and the application of these factors to allocate
11 Pipeline, Storage and Peaking demand costs to each month are shown on Schedule 21,
12 Lines 17 through 22, Lines 33 through 40, and Lines 44 through 49, respectively. In
13 addition, Lines 26 through 29 show the calculation of the Injection Fees by month.
14 Injection Fees are the capacity costs of that portion of Northern's pipeline capacity that is
15 used to transport gas to the underground storage fields. The Injection Fees are added to
16 the Storage demand costs, as shown on Line 39, and subtracted from the Pipeline demand
17 costs, as shown on Line 53. However, for the 2017 / 2018 Winter Season storage
18 injection fees are zero. This is because Northern will be purchasing storage gas directly

³ The forecast of demand costs that Mr. Wells prepared is provided in Schedule 5A.

1 at the storage facility thereby eliminating the need for transportation to the facility and
2 the associated transfer of costs.

3 Northern's fixed capacity costs that have been allocated to each month are summarized
4 and consolidated on Lines 50 through 56 of Schedule 21. Lines 50, 51 and 52 repeat the
5 Pipeline, Storage, and Peaking capacity costs from Lines 22, 40, and 49. Line 53 shows
6 the credit to Pipeline capacity costs that is related to the Injection Fees that have been
7 added to the Storage capacity costs. In addition: (a) $1/5^{\text{th}}$ of total Capacity Release
8 revenues are allocated to each month from November through March, as shown on Line
9 54; and (b) $1/6^{\text{th}}$ of total Asset Management revenues, are allocated to each month from
10 November through April, as shown on Line 55.

11 **Q. Finally, how are the total Demand Costs and the Capacity Release and Asset**
12 **Management revenues, which have been allocated to each month according to the**
13 **process that you described above, allocated to the Maine and New Hampshire**
14 **Divisions?**

15 A. Total Northern Demand Costs and Capacity Release and net Asset Management revenues
16 that are allocated to each month are then allocated to the Maine and New Hampshire
17 Divisions according to the design year total sendout for the Maine and New Hampshire
18 Divisions, which is shown in lines 61 and 62 of Schedule 21. The calculated percentages
19 are provided in lines 65 and 66.

1 As shown on Line 90 of Schedule 21, 44.82% of Northern's total demand costs from
2 November 2017 through October 2018 will be allocated to the New Hampshire Division
3 and the remaining 55.18%, as shown on Line 81, will be allocated to the Maine Division.

4 **B. Allocation of New Hampshire Demand-Related Costs to Seasons**

5 **Q. Please explain how the projected annual demand-related costs that are allocated to**
6 **the New Hampshire Division are then assigned to be recovered in the 2017 / 2018**
7 **Winter Season and the 2018 Summer Season.**

8 A. Northern allocates costs between the seasons as well as among customer classes through
9 the Simplified Market Based Allocation ("SMBA") method. I have prepared Schedule
10 1A to show detailed support for the allocation of New Hampshire Division Sales
11 Customer demand costs to months, and then to seasons utilizing the SMBA method.

12 Lines 2 through 4 of Schedule 1A summarize the Pipeline and Storage and Peaking
13 demand costs that are allocated to the New Hampshire Division, as determined in
14 Schedule 21. Lines 13 through 23 of Schedule 1A show the calculation of Net Demand
15 Costs for firm sales customers, which is Total Demand Costs allocated to the New
16 Hampshire Division less the capacity assignment revenues from New Hampshire
17 Division transportation customers. The Winter and Summer Season rates that will be
18 charged to New Hampshire Division firm sales customers from November 2017 through
19 October 2018 will recover: (1) the Net Pipeline Demand costs shown on Line 20; (2) the

1 Net Storage costs shown on Line 21; and (3) the Peaking demand costs shown on Line 22
2 of Schedule 1A.⁴

3 Lines 27 through 41 of Schedule 1A show the calculation of pipeline demand costs for
4 sales customers, separated into (1) Base Use demand costs and (2) Remaining Use
5 demand costs.⁵ The Base Use that is shown on Line 32 of Schedule 1A is the average
6 projected daily use in July and August 2018⁶ for all firm sales classes. The Base Use
7 Pipeline Demand cost that is shown on Line 40 of Schedule 1A is calculated by
8 multiplying Base Use times the weighted average annual cost of pipeline capacity, as
9 shown on Line 36 of Schedule 1A. Line 41 shows the Remaining Use Net Pipeline
10 Demand costs for sales customers, which is the difference between total net pipeline
11 demand costs and Base Use pipeline demand costs.

12 Lines 45 through 50 of Schedule 1A show the calculation of the Proportional
13 Responsibility (“PR”) allocator that is used to allocate (a) Remaining Use Net Pipeline
14 Demand costs, (b) Storage and Peaking costs and (c) Supplier Refunds related to Firm
15 Sales customers for twelve months, November 2017 through October 2018. Lines 52
16 through 57 show the calculation of the PR factor that is used to allocate (d) Capacity
17 Release and Asset Management revenues and (e) Interruptible margins and Sales Service
18 Re-entry Fee revenues to the Winter Season months, November 2017 through April 2018.

⁴ These direct demand costs are adjusted by Capacity Release and Asset Management revenues (Line 76); Interruptible margins (Line 77); Re-Entry Fee Credits (Line 78); and PNGTS Refunds (Lines 79 & 80).

⁵ This separation is necessary because the SMBA allocation methodology allocates Base Use demand costs to seasons on a different basis than Remaining Use demand costs.

⁶ Average Projected Daily demand by class in July and August is shown in Schedule 10B, Line 48.

1 These PR factors are summarized by type of capacity cost in lines 61 through 65. Line
2 61 of Schedule 1A shows that 1/12th of the net annual Base Use pipeline demand costs is
3 allocated to each month, and Lines 68 through 81 show the detailed allocation to months
4 of all components that are included in the Total Net Demand Costs, based on the “All
5 Months” and “Peak Months Only” allocation factors.

6 As shown on Line 82 of Schedule 1A, \$9,128,178 of direct demand costs are allocated to
7 the 2017 / 2018 Winter Season, and \$963,258 is allocated to the 2018 Summer Season.

8 **Q. Please explain the off-system peaking demand cost allocation adjustment that**
9 **appears on Line 81 of Attachment Schedule 1A.**

10 A. During the 2016 / 2017 Winter Period, Northern purchased off-system peaking supplies for
11 Sales Service customers and capacity-assigned Delivery Service customers in the New
12 Hampshire Division but only for Sales Service customers in the Maine Division, as Northern
13 eliminated off-peaking system supply for capacity-assigned Delivery Service customers
14 beginning on November 1, 2016. Application of the MRP Allocator, which assumes the
15 purchase of off-system peaking supply for Delivery Service customers in both states,
16 resulted in the Maine Division being assigned costs for some peaking supplies that the
17 Company purchased solely for New Hampshire Division customers. Northern has
18 determined that the cost impact from this misallocation was \$128,693.⁷

⁷ Northern has proposed a corresponding credit in its currently pending Maine Peak Period Cost of Gas proceeding, 2017-00202.

1 For the 2017-2018 Winter Period, Northern is purchasing off-system peaking supplies for
2 only its Sales Customers in both the Maine and New Hampshire Divisions. Given how the
3 MPR Allocator is derived, this creates a bias in the peaking cost allocation based on the
4 amount of capacity-assigned off-system peaking demand there is in each division. For the
5 2017 / 2018 Winter Period, the expected cost adjustment is a \$44,199 credit to the New
6 Hampshire Division. This calculation is discussed in the testimony of Mr. Wells and the
7 calculations supporting this cost adjustment are provided in Schedule 21A.

8 **C. Allocation of New Hampshire Winter and Summer Season Demand Costs to**
9 **Customer Classes**

10 **Q. Please explain how the New Hampshire Division sales service demand-related costs**
11 **that were allocated to the Winter and Summer Seasons are allocated to each sales**
12 **rate class.**

13 A. The New Hampshire Division sales service base demand-related costs for each month are
14 allocated to each sales service rate class based on that class's prorata share of total
15 forecasted firm sendout to sales customers under normal weather conditions in that
16 month. The remaining demand-related costs for a month are allocated to each sales
17 service rate class based on that class's pro rata share of total forecasted firm sales design
18 day, temperature-sensitive demand.

19 I have prepared Schedule 10B to show the calculation of the factors that are used to
20 allocate New Hampshire Division sales service Winter and Summer Season base
21 demand-related costs for each month to each sales service rate class. The firm sales
22 forecast, shown on Lines 1 to 16, and the firm sendout forecast by class, shown on Lines

18 to 33, are used to determine: daily base use, shown on Lines 35 to 48; base use sendout, shown on Lines 49 to 64; and remaining use sendout, shown on Lines 66 to 80.

The base and remaining sendout values for each class are used to allocate the seasonal demand costs to the New Hampshire Division firm sales classes.

I have prepared Schedule 10A to show the allocation of Winter and Summer Season New Hampshire Division Net Demand costs to each firm sales rate class, based on (a) the New Hampshire Net Demand costs that are allocated to each Winter Season and Summer Season month as shown in Schedule 1A, Lines 67 through 81, and (b) the Rate Class allocators as shown Schedule 10B, Lines 49 to 80. The Base Sendout allocators, which are used to allocate base demand costs to firm sales rate classes, are shown on Lines 3 through 22 of Schedule 10A. The Remaining Design Day allocators, which are used to allocate all other demand-related costs and credits to firm sales rate classes, are shown on Lines 39 through 48.

The following table shows the location in Schedule 10A of the Net Demand-related costs and credits by component allocated to each firm sales rate class:

Demand Cost Component	Schedule 10A
Base Capacity	Lines 24 through 37
Remaining Pipeline Capacity	Lines 50 through 66
Peaking and Storage Demand	Lines 68 through 84
Capacity Release and Asset Management	Lines 86 through 102
Non-Firm Margins	Lines 104 through 120
Remaining Re-Entry Fee Credit	Lines 122 through 138
Total Non-Base Capacity Costs	Lines 140 through 154
Total Capacity Costs	Lines 156 through 174

1 **D. Allocation of Variable Costs**

2 **Q. Please provide a description of Variable costs, and explain how Variable costs are**
3 **allocated to Northern's Maine and New Hampshire Divisions.**

4 A. Variable costs include commodity costs and variable pipeline and storage costs⁸ for firm
5 sales. Mr. Wells prepared a forecast of Northern's variable gas costs by month, which is
6 provided in Schedule 6A. These variable gas costs have been allocated between the
7 Maine and New Hampshire Divisions based on each Division's percentage of monthly
8 firm normal sendout. I have prepared Schedule 22 to show the allocation of the 2017 /
9 2018 Winter and Summer Season variable gas costs between the Maine and New
10 Hampshire Divisions.

11 **Q. Please explain Schedule 22.**

12 A. Lines 1 through 10 of Schedule 22 show the projected sendout volumes, by month and by
13 resource type, which Mr. Wells provided to me. Mr. Wells also provided the projected
14 variable costs by month and by type of gas supply resource that are shown on Lines 12,
15 and Lines 19 through 21 of Schedule 22. This Schedule also shows projected Off-
16 system Sales revenues on Line 22. The pipeline commodity costs shown on Lines 12 and
17 19 are based on projected NYMEX prices as of September 7, 2017. Lines 27 through 35
18 show the estimated gains and losses based on the Company's hedging program⁹. The
19 variable gas costs and hedging gains and losses for firm sales service that are summarized

⁸ Variable costs include pipeline usage/commodity charges, pipeline fuel retention, storage commodity injection and withdrawal charges, and storage fuel retention.

⁹ These costs are allocated to the Winter Season only.

1 on Lines 38 and 35, respectively, are allocated to the Maine and New Hampshire
2 Divisions based on projected monthly firm sales sendout in each division; the allocators
3 are shown on Lines 53, 54, 58 and 59. Schedule 22 also shows the allocation of (a)
4 Commodity costs (Maine Division: Lines 64, 66, 67, and 68; New Hampshire Division:
5 Lines 73, 75, 76, and 77); and (b) net hedging costs (Lines 65 and 74) to the Maine and
6 New Hampshire Divisions respectively. Finally, Schedule 22 shows the inventory
7 finance costs for underground storage and LNG resources (Lines 98 to 100), the
8 allocation of these costs to the Maine and New Hampshire Divisions (Lines 103 to 105),
9 and the allocation of New Hampshire Division's allocated share of annual inventory
10 finance costs to the Winter Season, using the firm sales remaining sendout allocators
11 (Lines 114 to 116).

12 I have prepared Schedule 1B to summarize the New Hampshire Division variable gas
13 costs that were determined in Schedule 22. This attachment also shows the calculation of
14 base and remaining commodity costs.

15 **Q. Please explain how you calculated the inventory finance costs for underground**
16 **storage and LNG resources that are included in Schedule 22, Lines 70, 79, and 88.**

17 **A.** The inventory finance charges that are shown on Lines 70, 79, and 88 of Schedule 22 are
18 derived from the inventory finance costs that are shown on Lines 98 and 99 of Schedule

22¹⁰. These inventory finance costs were calculated based on forecasted inventory activity calculations which are shown in Schedule 14.

Q. Why are no inventory finance costs (or “carrying costs”) shown for Washington 10 Storage on Schedule 22 or calculated in Schedule 14?

A. Under its current Asset Management Arrangement, which runs through March 2018, the Company does not incur inventory finance costs on its Washington 10 inventories. Northern’s replacement storage contract with Union Gas, beginning April 1, 2018 will also have no inventory finance costs.

Q. Please explain how the New Hampshire Division variable gas costs for sales customers are allocated to each firm sales class.

A. I have prepared Schedule 10C to show the allocation of New Hampshire Division variable gas costs to each firm sales class. Lines 1 to 21 show the calculation of the Base Sendout allocators by rate class. Lines 22 to 49 show the allocation of the monthly New Hampshire Division Base Commodity and Base Hedging costs¹¹ to each rate class. Lines 50 to 70 show the calculation of the Remaining Sendout allocators by rate class. Lines 71 to 98 show the allocation of the monthly New Hampshire Division Remaining

¹⁰ Schedule 22 shows November through April commodity costs. Inventory finance costs for May through October are included in the total annual costs (i.e. November through October) shown in Column N of Lines 98 through 100. Total 2017/2018 inventory finance costs allocated to New Hampshire (Line 104) are recovered in the Winter Season, as shown on Line 79 of Schedule 22.

¹¹ New Hampshire Division Winter Season Base Commodity costs and Hedging costs by month are shown in Schedule 1B Lines 37 and 38.

1 Commodity and Remaining Hedging costs¹² to each rate class. A summary of all
2 commodity costs allocated to the New Hampshire Division's firm sales classes is shown
3 on Lines 99 to 140.

4 **E. Refunds**

5 **Q. Are there any refunds included in this filing?**

6 A. Yes. In April 2015, Northern received a \$22 million refund from PNGTS, of which
7 about \$10.4 million is allocated to Northern's New Hampshire Division. This refund is
8 being flowed back to both sales and non-exempt delivery service customers over a three
9 year period with 50% flowed back the first year, 30% the second year and 20% the third
10 year. The crediting of PNGTS refund began in the summer of 2015. Consistent with the
11 methodology approved in the 2015 Summer Period COG proceeding, Northern is
12 applying the refund to Sales Service customers as a credit to Northern's total expected
13 demand costs included within the Summer and Winter COG periods. By applying the
14 refund to total demand costs, the refund will flow back to sales service customers in the
15 same manner as the PNGTS over-collection was charged. Non-exempt Delivery Service
16 customers receive their refund on a prospective basis through a reduction in their
17 Company Managed Demand Charge.

18 **Q. How far along is Northern in crediting back the PNGTS refund?**

¹² New Hampshire Division Winter Season Remaining Commodity costs and Hedging costs by month are shown in Schedule 1B Lines 39 and 40.

1 A. The Winter 2017 / 2018 COG Period reflects the second half of the third and final year of
2 the refund. This credit is shown in Schedule 1A on lines 79 and 80. In addition, I have
3 provided Schedule 25 to show how the net refund allocated to Northern's sales customers
4 is derived for the 2017 / 2018 Winter Period.

5 **F. 2016 / 2017 Annual Reconciliation**

6 **Q. Please explain the 2016 / 2017 Annual COG Reconciliation.**

7 A. The 2016 / 2017 Annual COG Reconciliation is provided as Schedule 15. As Page 1 of
8 this Schedule indicates, the projected October 31, 2017 annual ending balance is an over-
9 collection of \$348,002.

10 I have also modified Page 1 of the Annual Reconciliation to show how the ending
11 balance will be allocated between the upcoming 2017 / 2018 Winter and 2018 Summer
12 Seasons. As Page 1 illustrates, the allocation between seasons will be based on the
13 portion of projected sales that occur in each season. Similar allocations are provided for
14 Attachment A (Working Capital) and Attachment B (Bad Debt).

15 **Q. Please explain the adjustment that appears in Form III, Schedule 4, the last entry**
16 **under the Product Demand cost category.**

17 A. As previously stated in my testimony, during the 2016 / 2017 Winter Period, there was a
18 misallocation of demand costs associated with off-system peaking supply among the New
19 Hampshire and Maine divisions. To correct for this, Northern has debited the New
20 Hampshire Division \$128,693 in its 2016 / 2017 Winter Annual Reconciliation. In

1 addition, the Company has made an accompanying adjustment to its demand working
2 capital expense.

3 **Q. How did Northern derive the \$128,693 credit?**

4 A. The calculation of this credit is discussed in Mr. Wells' testimony and the supporting
5 calculation is provided in Attachment Schedule 21A.

6 **G. Miscellaneous Charges and Credits**

7 **Q. Are you projecting that Northern will receive any Re-entry Fee Credits from**
8 **transportation customers returning to sales service during the 2017 / 2018 Winter**
9 **Season?**

10 A. Northern is projecting no Re-entry Fee Credits in this period.

11 **Q. Is Northern proposing any changes to the fees charged for transportation customers**
12 **returning to sales service.**

13 A. Yes. In Docket No. DG 17-104, Northern proposed changes to its Delivery Service
14 Terms and Conditions. Included in this filing is a proposed change to the fee for
15 transportation customers that return to sales service. For transportation customers that
16 are not capacity exempt, a Re-entry Fee would apply in order to recover any incremental
17 supply costs. For capacity exempt transportation customers, a Conversion Fee will be
18 assessed to recover incremental costs of obtaining supply that is not capacity backed. The
19 calculation of these rates for the 2017 / 2018 Winter Period and 2018 Summer Period is
20 provided in Schedule 18B.

1 **Q. What will Northern do if the proposed fee changes are not approved by November**
2 **1st?**

3 A. I have submitted two versions of Fourth Revised Tariff Page No. 171. The first is the
4 existing fee updated for 2017 – 2018. The second is labeled Alternate Fourth Revised
5 and reflects the proposed Re-entry Rate and Conversion Rate. In the event the Alternate
6 Tariff Page is not approved by November 1, the Company will use the existing Sales
7 Service Re-entry Fee approved for 2017 - 2018.

8 **Q. Is Northern proposing two versions of its return-to-sales service fee?**

9 A. Yes, Northern is submitting two versions of Tariff Page 171. The existing Firm Sales
10 Service Re-entry Fee has been updated and will be included in Northern's Compliance
11 filing if the proposed Re-entry Rate and Conversion Rate proposal is not accepted in the
12 Commission's Order in this proceeding.

13 **Q. How were Northern's Working Capital Costs derived?**

14 The Working Capital Costs were based on a formula approved in Northern's 2011 base
15 rate proceeding, Docket No. DG 11-069. This formula derives the working capital
16 percentage by dividing the supply related net lag of 9.25 days by 365 days and then
17 multiplying the result by the prime interest rate. Based on the current prime rate of
18 4.25%, the Working Capital Percentage is 0.1077%. This percentage, when multiplied
19 by the each season's forecasted Direct Cost of Gas, yields a 2017 / 2018 Winter Period
20 Working Capital Cost of \$25,884 and a 2018 Summer Period Working Capital Cost of
21 \$3,352. These amounts are included in the Summary Schedule at lines 31 and 141.

1 **Q. How did Northern develop its current projected Bad Debt expense for inclusion in**
2 **the 2017 / 2018 Winter Season and 2018 Summer Season COGs?**

3 A. To develop its bad debt projections, Northern forecasts 12 months of customer write-offs
4 for both supply and distribution service. This forecast is based on actual experience and
5 any recent unexpected increases or decreases in the number of customer write-offs.

6 As shown on Line 3 of Schedule 4 for the 12-months ended June 30, 2017, actual write-
7 offs for Northern's New Hampshire Division were \$362,639. For the twelve months
8 ended December 31, 2018, Northern projects annual Bad Debt expense to be \$438,000
9 (Line 14).

10 The projected annual Bad Debt expense was then allocated to supply (45%) and
11 distribution (55%) services based on the actual Bad Debt experience of these components
12 over the 12-months ended June 31, 2017. This is shown on Lines 7 and 5, respectively,
13 of Schedule 4. The annual Bad Debt expense forecast allocated to supply, \$195,550 as
14 shown on Line 15, was then allocated further to the 2017 / 2018 Winter Season (94%)
15 and 2018 Summer Season (6%) based on the allocation of demand costs between the
16 Winter and Summer Seasons. This breakout establishes the Winter Season Bad Debt of
17 \$184,403 (Line 19) and a Summer Season Bad Debt expense of \$11,146. I have included
18 these expenses at lines 39 and 150 in the Summary Schedule.

19 **Q. What steps does the Company take to reduce its Bad Debt Expense?**

20 A. In addition to proactively providing customers with tools and information to manage their
21 accounts and avoid arrearages, the Company has a multi-step program in place for

1 maximizing the collection of receivables from customers with delinquent balances and
2 reducing bad debt. The goal of this program is to enable customers with delinquent
3 balances the ability to avoid disconnection and continue to receive gas service while
4 meeting their payment obligations. In this program, the Company employs a variety of
5 measures to maximize collections of receivables and reduce bad debt. Customer specific
6 measures include the following:

- 7 - Invoices are mailed out monthly so the customer is aware of any past due balance;
- 8 - All accounts with a delinquent balance that meet the criteria established by New
9 Hampshire Public Utilities Commission ("PUC") rules, and are not protected from
10 disconnection pursuant to said rules, receive a disconnect notice requiring that the
11 customer pay the delinquent balance before the scheduled disconnection date or call
12 the Company to discuss a payment plan;
- 13 - If the past due location is a master meter (e.g., a single meter that serves a multi-unit
14 property), the property is posted to advise tenants of potential disconnection of
15 service.

16
17 The Company also communicates regularly with its customers via bill messages, bill
18 inserts, newsletters, and its website, and shares tools and information that enable
19 customers to manage their accounts, including a budgeting tool, payment plan options,
20 and information regarding the discount program and financial assistance.

21 In addition to the steps outlined above, Northern performs a monthly review of
22 commercial customer accounts to identify commercial customers that have received four
23 or more disconnect notices in a twelve month period. Unitil sends a deposit warning letter
24 to such customers notifying them that if their outstanding balance is not paid within 30
25 days, the Company will assess a deposit to their account.

26 When a customer calls the Company in response to a disconnect notice or to otherwise
27 address a delinquency, we review several options with the customer to resolve the

1 delinquency, including full payment and sufficient partial payment coupled with a
2 payment plan for the balance. Monthly letters are mailed to customers on any standard
3 payment plan to remind them of payment amounts and due dates to encourage timely
4 payments. The Company may also refer customers to “211” for contact information
5 regarding discounted rates, financial assistance and energy efficiency programs.

6 When Northern learns that a customer is protected from a service disconnection per PUC
7 rules, the Company codes customers’ accounts accordingly to prevent disconnect notices,
8 but continues to work with the customers to set reasonable payment arrangements. Such
9 efforts include monthly outbound calls to customers to discuss payment and plans and bi-
10 monthly letters to customers to discuss payment and plans.

11 When a customer remains delinquent two days before the scheduled disconnection date,
12 the Company will make an outbound call to attempt to secure payment and discuss the
13 customer’s options. If an adequate payment is not received, an acceptable payment plan
14 is not established, or the Company does not determine that the customer is protected from
15 disconnection, the Company issues a disconnection work order to shut off the customer’s
16 service.¹³

17 If, after an account is shut off for non-payment, the customer calls and makes a full or
18 otherwise sufficient payment, the Company will reinstate service to the customer upon
19 payment of a deposit, and establish a payment plan for any remaining balance. If the
20 customer does not respond, the Company closes the account and mails a final bill to the

¹³ If a disconnection work order is issued during the Winter Moratorium, the Company makes contact with an adult resident of the property prior to disconnecting service.

1 customer. If the customer does not make payment on the final bill, the Company mails an
2 additional reminder notice and makes an outbound call to the customer to request
3 payment or establish a reasonable payment plan.

4 It is only after the Company receives no response to its proactive steps that the customer
5 account is referred to a Collection Agency and the receivables are classified as bad debt.

6 **Q. Please explain the costs related to the Company's local production and storage**
7 **facilities, and Other Administrative and General ("A&G") expenses that are**
8 **included in the Winter Season COG.**

9 A. Northern's local production and storage costs were set at \$420,658 in the Company's
10 most recent base rate case proceeding, Docket No. DG 13-086, and are recovered solely
11 in the Winter Season. Also in the last base rate case proceeding, A&G expenses were set
12 at \$512,686. Of this amount, \$418,262 is recovered from sales customers in the Winter
13 Season and \$94,406 is recovered in the Summer Season. These amounts are included in
14 the Summary Schedule on lines 42, 44, 152 and 154.

15 **Q. Does the Company anticipate incurring any New Hampshire PUC Consulting Costs**
16 **for the 2017 /2018 Annual Period.**

17 A. No. Last year Northern included the recovery for consulting costs incurred by the New
18 Hampshire PUC for analysis of the Company's Integrated Resource Plan. However, for
19 the 2017 /2018 Annual Period, the Company has no outstanding PUC consulting costs.

1 **H. Cost of Gas Factor**

2 **Q. Please explain the calculation of the proposed New Hampshire Division COG**
3 **factors for the 2017 / 2018 Winter Season and the 2018 Summer Season.**

4 A. The Summary Schedule, which is similar to the Company's COG tariff Pages 42, 42.1,
5 43 and 43.1, has been prepared to explain the calculation of the proposed 2017 / 2018
6 Winter and 2018 Summer COG factors. The text descriptions in Column D, pages 2, 4,
7 6, 8 and 10 explain the calculations on this tariff page and provide references to other
8 schedules for the sources of the data that appear on referenced COG tariff pages. This
9 Summary Schedule shows the calculation of the Winter and Summer Season COGs for
10 each of Northern's three COG Rate Groups: (1) Residential classes R-1 and R-2; (2) C&I
11 Low Winter use classes G-50, G-51 and G-52; and (3) C&I High Winter use classes G-
12 40, G-41 and G-42.

13 As shown on Page 3 of the Summary Schedule, the 2017 / 2018 Winter Season projected
14 Average Cost of Gas is \$0.7103 per therm (Line 68), which is the sum of the average
15 Total Direct Cost of Gas, \$0.6893 per therm (Line 61) and the average Indirect Cost of
16 Gas, \$0.0210 per therm (Line 65). As shown of Page 7 of the Summary Schedule, the
17 2018 Summer Season, the projected Average Cost of Gas is \$0.3975 per therm (Line
18 179), which is the sum of the average Total Direct Cost of Gas, \$0.3955 per therm (Line
19 172) and the average Indirect Cost of Gas, \$0.0020 per therm (Line 176).

20 Also shown on the Summary Schedule are the proposed residential COG Factors for the
21 2017 / 2018 Winter Period (Line 69) and the 2017 Summer Period (Line 179), the
22 proposed C&I Low Winter Use COG Factors for the 2017 / 2018 Winter Period (Line 73)

and 2017 Summer Period (Line 183), and the proposed C&I High Winter Use COG Factors the Winter 2017 / 2018 Winter Period (Line 93) and 2017 Summer Period (Line 203).

1. 2017 / 2018 Winter Season COG

Q. What are the major components of the 2017 / 2018 Winter Season Anticipated Direct Cost of Gas?

A. The table below identifies the major components of Anticipated Direct Gas Costs, as shown on page 1 in the Summary Schedule.

			Summary Schedule, Line:
1	Purchased Gas Demand Costs	\$2,736,659	3
2	Purchased Gas Supply Costs	\$8,821,653	4
3	Storage and Peaking Capacity Costs	\$8,330,279	7
4	Storage and Peaking Commodity Costs	\$5,967,050	8
5	Hedging Cost / (Gain)	\$112,028	10
6	Inventory Financing	\$3,454	12
7	Capacity Release and AMA revenue	(\$1,894,562)	14
8	Off-system Peaking Cost Adjustment	(44,199)	16
9	Total Anticipated Direct Cost of gas	\$24,032,363	18

Q. What are the major components of the 2017 / 2018 Winter Season Anticipated Indirect Cost of Gas?

A. The table below identifies the major components of Anticipated Indirect Gas Costs, as shown on page 1 in the Summary Schedule.

			Summary Schedule, Line:
1	Prior Period (Over) / Under-collection	\$(292,077)	22
2	Interest	\$(25,703)	24
3	Interruptible Margins	\$0	25
4	Working Capital Allowance	\$28,656	35
5	Bad Debt Allowance	\$181,243	40
6	Local Production and Storage	\$420,658	42
7	Miscellaneous Overhead	\$418,262	44
8	Total Anticipated Indirect Cost of Gas	\$731,039	46

1

2 **Q. Please explain the calculation of the Working Capital allowances for the 2017 / 2018**
3 **Winter Season COG.**

4 As mentioned earlier in my testimony, the total Working Capital allowance, \$28,656 is
5 shown on Line 35 of the Summary Schedule is the sum of the current period working
6 capital allowance, \$25,884 (Line 32), plus the prior seasonal allocations of Working
7 Capital reconciliation balance, \$2,771 (Line 33).

8 **Q. Please explain the calculation of the Bad Debt factors for 2017 / 2018 Winter COG.**

9 A. As mentioned earlier in my testimony, the Bad Debt allowance, \$181,243 (Line 40), is
10 the sum of the current period bad debt allowances, \$184,403 (Line 38), plus the seasonal
11 allocations of the Bad Debt reconciliation balance, (\$3,161) (Line 39).

12 **2. 2018 Summer Season COG**

13 **Q. What are the major components of the 2018 Summer Season Anticipated Direct**
14 **Cost of Gas?**

A. The table below identifies the major components of Anticipated Direct Gas Costs, as shown on page 5 in the Summary Schedule.

			Summary Schedule, Line:
1	Purchased Gas Demand Costs	\$592,766	113
2	Purchased Gas Supply Costs	\$2,119,016	114
3	Storage and Peaking Capacity Costs	\$370,493	117
4	Storage and Peaking Commodity Costs	\$29,837	118
5	Hedging Cost / (Gain)	\$0	120
6	Capacity Release and AMA revenue	\$0	124
7	Total Anticipated Direct Cost of gas	\$3,112,112	128

Q. What are the major components of the 2018 Summer Season Anticipated Indirect Cost of Gas?

A. The table below identifies the major components of Anticipated Indirect Gas Costs, as shown on page 5 of in the Summary Schedule.

			Summary Schedule, Line:
1	Prior Period (Over) / Under-collection	\$(65,925)	132
2	Interest	\$(27,527)	133
3	Interruptible Margins	\$0	137
4	Working Capital Allowance	\$3,977	145
5	Bad Debt Allowance	\$10,433	150
6	Local Production and Storage	\$0	152
7	Miscellaneous Overhead	\$94,406	154
8	Total Anticipated Indirect Cost of Gas	\$15,364	156

1 **Q. Please explain the calculation of the 2018 Summer Season Working Capital**
2 **allowances.**

3 As mentioned earlier in my testimony, the total Working Capital allowance, \$3,977 as
4 shown on Line 145 of the Summary Schedule is the sum of the current period working
5 capital allowance, \$3,352 (Line 141), plus the prior seasonal allocations of Working
6 Capital reconciliation balance, \$626 (Line 143).

7 **Q. Please explain the calculation of the 2018 Summer Season Bad Debt factors.**

8 A. As mentioned earlier in my testimony, the Bad Debt allowance, \$10,433 (Line 150), is
9 the sum of the current period bad debt allowances, \$11,146 (Line 148), plus the seasonal
10 allocations of the Bad Debt reconciliation balance, (\$713) (Line 149).

11 **I. Summary Analyses**

12 **Q. How does the proposed average 2017 / 2018 Winter Season COG rate compare to**
13 **the average 2016 / 2017 Winter Season COG?**

14 A. Schedule 9 compares the proposed 2017 / 2018 Winter Season average COG to the actual
15 2016 / 2017 Winter Season COGs rates. As this Schedule indicates, the Winter Season
16 2016 / 2017 COG was adjusted several times in order to minimize variances between
17 target and projected end of season variance. Schedule 9 also shows that the proposed
18 2017 / 2018 Winter Season COG rate, \$0.7103 per therm, is about \$0.05 per therm lower
19 than the average 2016 / 2017 Winter Season COG. This \$0.05 per therm decrease is
20 primarily due to a higher customer demand forecast that offsets slightly higher expected
21 demand costs.

1 **Q. How does the proposed 2018 Summer Season COG rate compare to the filed 2017**
2 **Summer Season COG rate?**

3 A. Schedule 9 also compares the proposed 2018 Summer Season average COG to the filed
4 2017 Summer Season COG¹⁴. As this Schedule indicates, the proposed 2018 Summer
5 Season average COG rate, \$0.3975 per therm, is \$0.0080 per therm lower than the 2017
6 Summer Season Average COG, \$0.4055 per therm. This \$0.0080 per therm decrease is
7 primarily due to lower expected commodity costs.

8 **III. SUPPLIER BALANCING CHARGE AND ADDITIONAL SCHEDULES**

9 **Q. Have you updated the Supplier Balancing Charge for the period November 1, 2017**
10 **through October 31, 2018?**

11 A. Yes, I have. The proposed Supplier Balancing Charge to be effective November 1,
12 2017, \$0.75 per MMBtu, is two cents lower than the currently effective Supplier
13 Balancing Charge. I have prepared Schedule 18 to support the Supplier Balancing
14 Charge.

15 **Q. Are there any additional schedules included in this filing that have not been**
16 **discussed?**

17 A. Yes, Schedules 3, 23 and 24 in my testimony. Schedule 3 determines Northern's
18 projected monthly over/under-collections, balances, and interest calculations. Schedule

¹⁴ To date, the 2018 Summer COG has not required any adjustments due to variances between target and projected end of year balances.

23 provides additional supporting detail to the calculation of the COG rates. Lastly, Schedule 24 determines Northern's short-term debt limit calculation for the period November 2017 through October 2018.

IV. FINAL MATTERS

Q. Will the Company propose to revise the 2017 / 2018 Winter Season COG if it receives any new or updated information on gas supplier or transportation rates?

A. If requested by Commission Staff, the Company will file a revised calculation of its 2017 / 2018 Winter and Summer Season COGs to reflect updated gas and pipeline transportation cost projections as well as any other cost information a few weeks prior to the effective date of November 1, 2017. In addition, the Company will file proposed changes to the approved 2017 / 2018 Winter Season COG when the projected end of season variance exceeds 2% of the target projected cost of gas. As mentioned above, Schedule 3 projects Northern's monthly over/under collections, balances and interest. Northern will update this schedule each month with actual costs and updated NYMEX prices in order to determine the variance between the latest projected end of season balances and the target end of season balances established in the COG filing. As indicated on Line 109 on that schedule, Northern projects an over collection target balance of (\$2,708,745) on April 30, 2018. If, during the upcoming Winter Season, the Company's updated projected April 30, 2018 ending balance varies from the target balance by 2% or more of total target projected gas costs, then the Company will file to adjust the 2017 / 2018 Winter Season COG for the subsequent month. These rates will

1 take effect without further action by the Commission for any decrease and for increases
2 up to 25% of the initially-approved 2017 / 2018 Winter Season COG.

3 Lastly, the Company will also file proposed changes to the approved 2018 Summer
4 Season COG when the projected annual variance exceeds 4% of the target projected gas
5 costs. As indicated on Schedule 3, Northern projects an under-collection of \$573 on
6 October 31, 2018, the end of the Annual COG period. If, during the upcoming Summer
7 Season, the Company's updated projected October 31, 2018 ending balance varies from
8 the target Annual COG period balance by 4% or more of total targeted projected gas
9 costs, it will then file to change the 2017 Summer COG for the subsequent month. These
10 rates will take effect without further action by the Commission for any decrease and for
11 increases up to 25% of the initially-approved 2018 Summer Period COG.

12 **Q. Does this conclude your testimony?**

13 **A.** Yes it does.